

U.S. Department of Justice

Andrew E. Lelling
United States Attorney
District of Massachusetts

Main Reception: (617) 748-3100

John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way Suite 9200 Boston, Massachusetts 02210

July 24, 2020

Joan Fund, Esq. 245 First Street, Riverview II, Suite 1800 Cambridge, MA 02142

Re: <u>United States v. Cecchetelli, et. al.</u>

Defendant, Luis Santiago, a/k/a "King Tiny"

Criminal No. 19-10459

Dear Attorney Fund:

The United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts ("the U.S. Attorney") and your client, Luis Santiago ("Defendant"), agree as follows, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B):

1. Change of Plea

Defendant will plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment: Conspiracy to Conduct Enterprise Affairs through a Pattern of Racketeering Activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). Defendant admits that he committed the crime specified in this count and is in fact guilty of this offense.

2. Penalties

Defendant faces the following maximum penalties: incarceration for 20 years; supervised release for 3 years; a fine of \$250,000; a mandatory special assessment of \$100; restitution; and forfeiture to the extent charged in the Indictment.

3. Sentencing Guidelines

Defendant agrees to take the position that the Defendant's total "offense level" under the Guidelines is 20.

The U.S. Attorney agrees, based on the following calculations, that Defendant's total "offense level" under the Guidelines is 21:

(a) Base Offense Level

Pursuant to USSG § 2E1.1(a)(2), the base offense level is calculated according to the offense level applicable to the underlying racketeering activity. Pursuant to App. Note 1, each offense is treated as a separate count of conviction, with applicable Chapter Three adjustments.

The underlying offenses for Guidelines purposes are Defendant's aggravated assault of a rival gang member in New Bedford, MA, in May 2019 (Group One); and Defendant's conspiracy to manufacture, distribute, and possess with intent to distribute cocaine base (Group Two). These offenses are grouped separately pursuant to USSG § 3D1.2.

(1) <u>Group One</u>:

- in accordance with USSG § 2A2.2(a), the base offense level is 14 because the Defendant is responsible for an aggravated assault;
- in accordance with USSG § 2A2.2(b)(1), the offense level is increased by 2 because the assault required more than minimal planning; and
- in accordance with USSG § 2A2.2(b)(2)(A), the offense level is increased by 5 because the Defendant discharged a firearm.

(2) <u>Group Two</u>:

- in accordance with USSG § 2D1.1(c)(11), base offense level is 18, because the Defendant is responsible for at least 11.2 gram but less than 16.8 grams of cocaine base;
- in accordance with USSG § 2D1.1(b)(1), the offense level is increased by 2 because the Defendant possessed a dangerous weapon, including a firearm; and
- in accordance with USSG § 2D1.1(b)(2), the offense level is increased by 2 because the Defendant used violence, made a credible threat to use violence, or directed the use of violence.

(b) Grouping

Pursuant to USSG § 3D1.4, each group is counted separately. Group One

has an adjusted offense level of 21. Group Two has an adjusted offense level of 22. Group Two counts as one Unit because it has the highest offense level. Group One counts as one Unit because it is 1 level less serious. USSG § 3D1.4(a). Defendant therefore is responsible for 2 Units, which results in an additional 2 levels to Group Two.

Pursuant to the USSG grouping principles, and prior to any reduction for acceptance of responsibility, Defendant's Adjusted Offense Level is therefore 24.

(c) Acceptance of Responsibility

Based on Defendant's prompt acceptance of personal responsibility for the offense of conviction in this case, the U.S. Attorney agrees to recommend that the Court reduce Defendant's Adjusted Offense Level by 3 levels under USSG § 3E1.1.

Defendant understands that the Court is not required to follow this calculation, and that Defendant may not withdraw his guilty plea if Defendant disagrees with how the Court calculates the Guidelines or with the sentence the Court imposes.

Defendant also understands that the government will object to any reduction in his sentence based on acceptance of responsibility if: (a) at sentencing, Defendant (himself or through counsel) indicates that he does not fully accept responsibility for having engaged in the conduct underlying each of the elements of the crime to which he is pleading guilty; or (b) by the time of sentencing, Defendant has committed a new federal or state offense, or has in any way obstructed justice.

If, after signing this Agreement, Defendant's criminal history score or Criminal History Category is reduced, the U.S. Attorney reserves the right to seek an upward departure under the Guidelines.

Nothing in this Plea Agreement affects the U.S. Attorney's obligation to provide the Court and the U.S. Probation Office with accurate and complete information regarding this case.

4. Sentence Recommendation

The U.S. Attorney agrees to recommend the following sentence to the Court:

- a) incarceration within the Guidelines sentencing range as calculated by the Court at sentencing, excluding departures;
- b) a fine within the Guidelines sentencing range as calculated by the Court at sentencing, excluding departures, unless the Court finds that Defendant is not able, and is not likely to become able, to pay a fine;
- c) 36 months of supervised release;

- d) a mandatory special assessment of \$100, which Defendant must pay to the Clerk of the Court by the date of sentencing; and
- e) forfeiture as set forth in Paragraph 6.

The Defendant agrees to recommend a sentence of incarceration of no less than 36 months.

The parties agree that the time for which the Defendant was held in official detention on New Bedford District Court Docket Nos. 1933CR001067, and 1933CR003451 relate to offenses for which the Defendant is to be sentenced in this case, and constitute creditable time served. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b). The parties agree that the time has not been credited against another sentence.

5. Waiver of Appellate Rights and Challenges to Conviction or Sentence

Defendant has the right to challenge his conviction and sentence on "direct appeal." This means that Defendant has the right to ask a higher court (the "appeals court") to look at what happened in this case and, if the appeals court finds that the trial court or the parties made certain mistakes, overturn Defendant's conviction or sentence. Also, in some instances, Defendant has the right to file a separate civil lawsuit claiming that serious mistakes were made in this case and that his conviction or sentence should be overturned.

Defendant understands that he has these rights, but now agrees to give them up. Specifically, Defendant agrees that:

- a) He will not challenge his <u>conviction</u> on direct appeal or in any other proceeding, including in a separate civil lawsuit; and
- b) He will not challenge his <u>sentence</u>, including any court orders related to forfeiture, restitution, fines or supervised release, on direct appeal or in any other proceeding, including in a separate civil lawsuit.

Defendant understands that, by agreeing to the above, he is agreeing that his conviction and sentence will be final when the Court issues a written judgment after the sentencing hearing in this case. That is, after the Court issues a written judgment, Defendant will lose the right to appeal or otherwise challenge his conviction and sentence regardless of whether he later changes his mind or finds new information that would have led him not to agree to give up these rights in the first place.

Defendant acknowledges that he is agreeing to give up these rights at least partly in exchange for concessions the U.S. Attorney is making in this Agreement.

The parties agree that, despite giving up these rights, Defendant keeps the right to later claim that his lawyer rendered ineffective assistance of counsel, or that the prosecutor or a member of law enforcement involved in the case engaged in intentional misconduct serious enough to entitle Defendant to have his conviction or sentence overturned.

6. <u>Forfeiture</u>

Defendant hereby waives and releases any claims Defendant may have to any vehicles, currency, or other personal property seized by the United States, or seized by any state or local law enforcement agency and turned over to the United States, during the investigation and prosecution of this case, and consents to the forfeiture of all such assets.

7. Civil Liability

This Plea Agreement does not affect any civil liability, including any tax liability, Defendant has incurred or may later incur due to his criminal conduct and guilty plea to the charges specified in Paragraph 1 of this Agreement.

8. Breach of Plea Agreement

Defendant understands that if he breaches any provision of this Agreement, Defendant cannot use that breach as a reason to withdraw his guilty plea. Defendant's breach, however, would give the U.S. Attorney the right to be released from his commitments under this Agreement, and would allow the U.S. Attorney to pursue any charges that were, or are to be, dismissed under this Agreement.

If Defendant breaches any provision of this Agreement, the U.S. Attorney would also have the right to use against Defendant any of Defendant's statements, and any information or materials he provided to the government during investigation or prosecution of his case. The U.S. Attorney would have this right even if the parties had entered any earlier written or oral agreements or understandings about this issue.

Finally, if Defendant breaches any provision of this Agreement, he thereby waives any defenses based on the statute of limitations, constitutional protections against pre-indictment delay, and the Speedy Trial Act, that Defendant otherwise may have had to any charges based on conduct occurring before the date of this Agreement.

9. Who is Bound by Plea Agreement

This Agreement is only between Defendant and the U.S. Attorney for the District of Massachusetts. It does not bind the Attorney General of the United States or any other federal, state, or local prosecuting authorities.

10. Modifications to Plea Agreement

This Agreement can be modified or supplemented only in a written memorandum signed by both parties, or through proceedings in open court.

* * *

If this letter accurately reflects the agreement between the U.S. Attorney and Defendant, please have Defendant sign the Acknowledgment of Plea Agreement below. Please also sign below as Witness. Return the original of this letter to Assistant U.S. Attorney Philip A. Mallard.

Sincerely,

ANDREW E. LELLING United States Attorney

By: /s Glenn A. MacKinlay

Glenn A. MacKinlay Chief, Organized Crime Gang Unit Timothy E. Moran

Deputy Chief, Organized Crime Gang Unit

/s Philip A. Mallard

Philip A. Mallard Assistant U.S. Attorney

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF PLEA AGREEMENT

I have read this letter and discussed it with my attorney. The letter accurately presents my agreement with the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts. There are no unwritten agreements between me and the United States Attorney's Office, and no United States government official has made any unwritten promises or representations to me in connection with my guilty plea. I have received no prior offers to resolve this case.

I understand the crime I am pleading guilty to, and the maximum penalties for that crime. I have discussed the Sentencing Guidelines with my lawyer and I understand the sentencing ranges that may apply.

I am satisfied with the legal representation my lawyer has given me and we have had enough time to meet and discuss my case. We have discussed the charge against me, possible defenses I might have, the terms of this Agreement and whether I should go to trial.

I am entering into this Agreement freely and voluntarily and because I am in fact guilty of the offense. I believe this Agreement is in my best interest.

Luis Santiago
Luis Santiago
Defendant

Date: 7-24-2020

I certify that Luis Santiago has read this Agreement and that we have discussed what it means. I believe Luis Santiago understands the Agreement and is entering into it freely, voluntarily, and knowingly. I also certify that the U.S. Attorney has not extended any other offers regarding a change of plea in this case.

Joan Fund, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Date: 8/11/2020